### STATE OF LOUISIANA

LOUISIANA ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION

BOARD OF DIRECTORS MEETING

BEING HELD ON THURSDAY, October 13, 2022

AT THE LASALLE BUILDING

617 North Third Street, FLOOR 1, LABELLE ROOM

Baton Rouge, Louisiana

REPORTED BY: KELLY S. PERRIN, C.C.R.

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

COURT REPORTERS OF LOUISIANA, LLC

9522 BROOKLINE AVENUE, SUITE 217

BATON ROUGE, LOUISIANA 70809

PHONE: (225) 201-9650 \* FAX: (225) 201-9651

E-MAIL: depos@courtreportersla.com

			1 agc 2
1	INDEX		
2		PAGE	
3	CAPTION	1	
4	APPEARANCES	3,4	
5	PROCEEDINGS	5	
6	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE	97	
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1	APPEARANCES:
2	BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:
3	CHAIRMAN A.J. ROY, III
4	CHARLES E. JACKSON, III
5	CAL SIMPSON
6	LOUIS REINE
7	SECRETARY DON PIERSON
8	NORISHA GLOVER
9	OLUWASUYI E. GEORGEWILL
10	STAFF MEMBERS PRESENT:
11	LAURA WOMACK
12	SUSAN BIGNER
13	ROBIN PORTER
14	MARISSA DOIN
15	SHAMELDA PETE
16	CRYSTAL DALGO
17	MOLLY HENDRICKS
18	KELLY A. RANEY
19	BRENDA GUESS
20	DEBORAH SIMMONS
21	TEDRA CHEATHAM
22	OLEVIA SHARBAUGH
23	SONJA CHRISTOPHE
24	LETICIA JOHNSON
25	ANNE VILLA

Page ∠

```
1
        YANCY LEGRANDE
 2
    SPEAKERS FROM THE AUDIENCE:
 3
    EVIE POITEVENT, GNO, INC.
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
    REPORTED BY: KELLY S. PERRIN, CCR
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	CHAIRMAN ROY:
3	Good morning. Call to order the Board of
4	Directors, Louisiana Economic Development
5	Corporation roll call, please.
6	MS. SIMMONS:
7	Good morning. A.J Roy?
8	CHAIRMAN ROY:
9	Here.
10	MS. SIMMONS:
11	Louis Reine?
12	MR. REINE:
13	Here.
14	MS. SIMMONS:
15	Cal Simpson?
16	MR. SIMPSON:
17	Here.
18	MS. SIMMONS:
19	Charles Jackson?
20	MR. JACKSON:
21	Here.
22	MS. SIMMONS:
23	Andy Adler?
24	(No response.)
25	MS. SIMMONS:

1	Norisha Glover?
2	MS. GLOVER:
3	Here.
4	MS. SIMMONS:
5	Secretary Don Pierson?
6	SECRETARY PIERSON:
7	Present.
8	MS. SIMMONS:
9	Stephen David?
10	(No response.)
11	MS. SIMMONS:
12	Mr. Georgewill?
13	MR. GEORGEWILL:
14	Here.
15	MS. SIMMONS:
16	We have a quorum.
17	CHAIRMAN ROY:
18	Thank you. I'll ask everyone to please
19	sideline their devices. First order of
20	business is the approval of the minutes of
21	LEDC Board Meeting of September the 8th. What
22	is the pleasure of the Board?
23	MR. REINE:
24	Mr. Chairman, I looked through the
25	minutes, I was amazed I didn't ask any

1	questions at all. And then I realized, I
2	wasn't at the meeting. So I move we adopt the
3	minutes.
4	MR. SIMPSON:
5	Second.
6	CHAIRMAN ROY:
7	We can amend those minutes to insert a
8	question if you'd like.
9	MR. REINE:
10	And, look, I wasn't here and it's still
11	125 pages. Y'all must have been talking about
12	me.
13	CHAIRMAN ROY:
14	Motion for approval as presented.
15	MR. SIMPSON:
16	Second.
17	CHAIRMAN ROY:
18	Second. Any discussion?
19	Any comments from the public?
20	Hearing none, all in favor, aye?
21	ALL MEMBERS:
22	Aye.
23	CHAIRMAN ROY:
24	All opposed?
25	Motion stays without objection.

1	Next order of business is the SSBCI
2	Program. Ms. Bigner?
3	MS. BIGNER:
4	Good morning. Yes, I don't have Shamelda
5	to warm y'all up this morning, so it's going
6	to be all me.
7	CHAIRMAN ROY:
8	
	You might want to move the microphone a
9	little closer.
10	MS. BIGNER:
11	Is that better?
12	CHAIRMAN ROY:
13	I think so.
14	MS. GUESS:
15	A little closer.
16	CHAIRMAN ROY:
17	A little closer. There you go.
18	MS. BIGNER:
19	Okay. Usually, I talk loud and so okay.
20	All right. Good morning. Last month, the
21	Board approved the Louisiana Venture Capital
22	Program submissions for eligibility. This
23	month, I will be presenting the submissions
24	for the Louisiana Seed Capital Program. These
25	entities are looking to invest 25 to 75,000

dollars per round for early stage financing. The investments may be to get an idea into a marketable product or to assist an entrepreneur to start their business.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Venture Capital is for assisting an established business for growth, so that's what we looked at last month. And so this month, we're looking at early stage and seed capital. This program is a revision of the Seed Capital Program established to utilize SSBCI funds previously administered under the Small Jobs Act of 2010, which we commonly refer to as SSBCI 1.0. With the revitalization of the program through the American Rescue Plan Act of 2021, or commonly referred to as SSBCI 2.0, Louisiana Seed Capital Program will join the Venture Capital Program's Angel Funds -- I'm sorry, Venture Capital Funds, Angel Funds, and nonprofit organizations to create partnerships into a new seed capital fund.

Each fund will provide private financing through seed stage investments to startups in early stage small businesses in order to create jobs and improve the State's economy.

Specific interests will be made to small businesses owned by socially and economically disadvantaged individuals and very small businesses as defined by U.S. Treasury.

Investments may be made by the purchase of common stock, preferred stock, partnership rights, or other equity investments.

Additionally, it may mean debt positions, which may act as equity or have equity features such as subordinate debt, debentures, or other instruments used in conjunction with features intended to yield significant capital appreciation.

LED, through LEDC, has undergone an RFQ evaluation process to identify qualified entities to participate in the Louisiana Seed Capital Program. The criteria evaluated were the same as the Louisiana Venture Capital Program, experience and qualifications, business plan, fundraising, growth-related services, Louisiana location, and capacity. Staff is seeking approval of the following Seed Capital Entities for eligibility not to exceed the amount stated dependent on private capital match, contingencies, and parameters

for the Louisiana Seed Capital Program.

Those entities are 100 Black Angels & Allies, Benson Capital Partners, Boot64

Ventures, Eastwear JDJ, Greater New Orleans

Development Foundation, Innovation Catalyst, who have two separate requests, Louisiana

State University/LSU Foundation, LSU Health Foundation, New Orleans BioInnovation Center, New Orleans Startup Fund, Nichols State University, Ochsner Ventures, Social Entrepreneurs of New Orleans, also known as Propeller, The Idea Village, and Tulane Innovation Institute.

The first seed capital entity is 100
Black Angels & Allies doing business as 100
Black Angels Fund I, LP, and they're
requesting up to \$1 million. This entity
started raising capital in 2019 with the
managing member of the general partner having
over 20 years of venture capital experience.
The other managing members have early stage
startup investing and are successful
entrepreneurs. The fund is structured as a
Limited Partnership with the 100 Black Angels
General Partners, LLC as managers. They

1 usually make investments of 25,000 to 75,000 per round, and they currently have eight 3 investments on the books. 100 Black Angels funds will be working 4 5 with partners to provide mentorship and 6 assistance to portfolio companies at the 7 location in New Orleans. The general partner has raised \$1.4 million in funds and expects 8 additional funds to be raised for the match. 9 10 Next is Benson Capital Partners doing 11 business as Benson Capital Seed Fund I, LP 12 requesting up to \$5 million. 13 CHAIRMAN ROY: 14 Excuse me, Ms. Bigner, I think we have a 15 question. 16 MR. REINE: 17 Good morning. On the first one, it says 18 they have raised part, but they expect 19 additional funds for the match. So they don't 20 have the funds available for the match or how 21 does that work? 22 MS. BIGNER: 23 A lot of these are waiting on this Okav. 24 approval before they go out and raise the 25 additional funds. So when they come to --

1	when we do the funding agreement, we will know
2	then how much they have to match. And then on
3	each request for a capital call, they will
4	have to prove a match before we release those
5	funds.
6	MR. REINE:
7	And the match is dollar for dollar or
8	what?
9	MS. BIGNER:
10	In Seed, yes.
11	MR. REINE:
12	Okay. Thank you.
13	MS. BIGNER:
14	Sure. Any other questions?
15	All right. Benson Capital Partners is a
16	for-profit entity founded in 2019 to honor the
17	legacy of Gail Benson's late husband, Tom
18	Benson, by fostering entrepreneurship and
19	innovation in the Gulf South Region. Although
20	this was a new fund, Benson Capital Partners
21	has although this will be a new fund,
22	Benson Capital Partners has an existing VC
23	fund called BCP Fund I, with an established
24	marketing, screening, and evaluation process
25	already in place. The Seed fund will target

companies based in Louisiana and that are too early for funding by BCP Fund I. Gail Benson is the sole member of the partnership and is responsible for all private capital with the office located in New Orleans. Five million dollars will be committed upon approval.

MR. JACKSON:

Question. Actually, a couple of questions. It says, with a focus on Louisiana opportunities. Will they be required to invest our portion and the match in Louisiana? Will there be tracking of that or -- MS. BIGNER:

Yes. We ask that they request -- I mean that they do tracking on everything, and we will have to look at each transaction.

Treasury will allow up to 10 percent to be invested outside of the state, but we are requesting that they do it in Louisiana. And if there is a special case where there will be outside, we will be looking to see if that state does not have a Venture Capital Program, and they will benefit by our Venture Capital Program.

MR. JACKSON:

_	
1	Okay. And this is, in essence, a
2	transaction that would be with a single
3	individual?
4	MS. BIGNER:
5	It will be with an individual or a
6	company, yes.
7	MR. JACKSON:
8	Okay. All right. Thank you.
9	MR. REINE:
10	What's the total dollar amount in the
11	program?
12	MS. BIGNER:
13	We I'll go ahead and let Kelly take
14	that.
15	MS. RANEY:
16	The Seed Capital Program has been
17	allocated 30 million.
18	MR. REINE:
19	And the request I would have to add
20	them up, but the requests are less than or
21	equal to or or they exceed?
22	MS. BIGNER:
23	They exceed, and that's the reason why
24	we're saying up to a certain amount. We are
25	expecting that once okay. There are

contingencies that we were not aware of when we put out the RFO. They will have to create a closed-end fund that is -- meets the definition of a Venture Capital Fund as per the Securities Exchange Commission. So a lot of these such as some of the nonprofits, they have an evergreen, which means that it just continuously, you know, all the returns go back in and they recycle those funds. would not be able to do that with these funds. It would be a closed-end. And when the returns come back to the fund, LEDC and LED will have the option to have those returns back -- sent back to LED or reinvest. And it will be on a case by case basis right now. MR. REINE:

So do you expect that just because they can't meet the criteria that the request will be down? I mean, what's the total request number?

#### MS. RANEY:

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So there is a larger amount of interest in the program than there is allocated, so it will be administered on a first come first serve basis for those that raise their match

1	and are able to prove and provide all the
2	required documentation to receive the SSBCI
3	match to make that investment.
4	MR. REINE:
5	Okay. So that's how we would decide
6	first come first serve?
7	MS. BIGNER:
8	Right.
9	MS. RANEY:
LO	They have to be able to prove their
L1	private capital match. So, for example, you
L2	mentioned in Number One the \$1.4 million, so
L3	for example, if we had closed and were at the
L4	point in time where they're requesting their
L5	SSBCI match, if they only had \$1.4 million to
L6	show us, they would only be eligible to
L7	receive 1.4 million.
L8	MR. REINE:
L9	Okay. And so when it comes time to
20	decide whether they give that money back to
21	LED, and I don't know, could you tell me what
22	happens to it then or we give it back to them
23	to reinvest, who makes that decision?
24	MS. BIGNER:
25	It would be up to Management, the

1	Secretary, Undersecretary and Assistant
2	Secretary.
3	MR. JACKSON:
4	So the \$30 million, is that spread over
5	the three traunches or initial fees?
6	MS. RANEY:
7	That is spread over the three traunches.
8	MR. JACKSON:
9	So how much is available for the initial
10	fees?
11	MS. RANEY:
12	I guess I'm confused by the question of
13	additional.
14	MR. JACKSON:
15	The initial amount that we'll be
16	receiving that will be subject to disbursement
17	before we have to prove to draw a second and
18	third or is this subject to that or not?
19	CHAIRMAN ROY:
20	Traunch one.
21	MS. RANEY:
22	So the way Treasury is disbursing the
23	three traunches, I think this is what you're
24	getting at, the first traunch is going to be
25	33 percent, the second is going to be 33

percent, the third is going to 34 percent. After the first traunch, 33 percent is sent to Once we get them our report card on how we perform with all the capital programs, they're going to basically evaluate that, see how we performed against our goals to include a steady goal of achieving roughly 54.89 percent of all our dollars into saving That will then dictate the extra businesses. for traunch two and possibly traunch three, but the base allocation spread is 33, 33, 34. MR. JACKSON:

So we're really talking about \$10 million to be spread among all of these entities that we're looking at today, we're talking about doing first come first serve. Does that include us ending any kind of conflict, potentially, with beating the setting goals? I mean, looking at for example, one of these that we've just talked about, they'll be pulling the \$5 million immediately.

MS. BIGNER:

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

They will request through a capital call. And, usually, it's the first three years or when you're investing in your companies --

1	MR. JACKSON:
2	
	Right.
3	MS. BIGNER:
4	in a fund. So they will not be
5	requesting the full \$5 million on Day One.
6	They will have to show that they have
7	although they may be approved for up to \$5
8	million and they have the capital, we will
9	obligate that \$5 million to them.
10	MR. JACKSON:
11	Okay. But
12	MS. BIGNER:
13	So and if
14	MR. JACKSON:
15	I guess I'm concerned the way that sort
16	of, I think the way it sort of works, is if
17	they've got a if they're asking for
18	\$5 million and some of the others are asking
19	for a million, they're more likely to be able
20	to invest in their \$5 million all early on and
21	suck up that much of the ten?
22	MS. BIGNER:
23	Right. Well, also what we're going to be
24	looking at is to see their performance in the
25	first couple years. If they're not getting

the money out and in doing investments, then 1 LED will have the option to reduce what has 3 been obligated to them so that it can be spread to other parts of the state or to funds 4 5 that are performing better. 6 MR. JACKSON: 7 Riaht. I'm just concerned and it may be completely unwarranted, but a bigger pool of 8 9 money, and in this case, we've got a couple 10 that are requesting up to half of what's 11 available initially --12 MS. BIGNER: 13 Right. 14 MR. JACKSON: 15 -- they're going to be able to deploy 16 that potentially quicker and suck up more of 17 that initial pool of money at the expense of 18 the ones that are going to be just a little 19 bit slower if it's first come first serve. 20 MS. RANEY: 21 We as a state jurisdiction have a 22 requirement to abide by Treasury. In order to 23 call in traunch two, we have to show improved 24 by a certain date that we have expended 25 80 percent of that first traunch. And if we

1	don't, Treasury will take the funds back and
2	put in the Treasury Fund.
3	SECRETARY PIERSON:
4	I
5	MR. JACKSON:
6	I get that. I think I'm not being clear.
7	I'm concerned that we may have one or two that
8	disproportionately draw. It can still be
9	invested properly, but we may not be giving
10	the diversification across the number of funds
11	if we're first come first serve.
12	Mr. Secretary, I'm sorry.
13	SECRETARY PIERSON:
14	Yeah, and just to make it simply, I think
15	what we're talking about here is we have a
16	milkshake, and one client sits down with a big
17	straw and drinks have half of it, then you've
18	left out the other eight people at the table.
19	What safeguards are there so that we make sure
20	we get a dispersed portfolio of takers and
21	that there's not one big dog that takes all
22	the food?
23	MS. VILLA:
24	And the things that you all are
25	discussing is exactly what we discussed when

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

we were looking at the RFQs that came in for the Seed, because there is an over request of even our entire dollar amount. So that's whenever we were determining how to present it to the Board, we put the up to language in there because we're not going to allow it to be completely absorbed by one fund. going to have traunches just like we're getting traunches from the federal government. So just because they potentially could raise all of their total asks, we're not going to allocate it to them. It's going to be outlined that it will be awarded to them as they perform and as it's allocated to us from Treasury.

So, for instance, if we've got, I'm just going to use simple math, if we've got ten funds and we've got the ten funds that are approved by the Board and they each ask for, you know, \$2 million, \$1 million, whatever that allocation comes up to, that's going to be then divided by three. And we're going to look at that and say for the first traunch, you have up to this and you have this amount of time to raise the funds because we have to

1	ensure that the funds are put into place
2	within the timeline that Treasury has given
3	us.
4	MR. JACKSON:
5	So it's not exactly first come first
6	serve.
7	MS. VILLA:
8	It is to an extent.
9	MR. SIMPSON:
10	But there is another
11	MS. VILLA:
12	But there is there are guardrails in
13	there to prevent someone absorbing all of our
14	first allocation.
15	MR. JACKSON:
16	I think that's a risk that we're not here
17	for.
18	MS. VILLA:
19	Oh, of course.
20	MS. BIGNER:
21	And I will say that I expect that not all
22	of these will decide to proceed.
23	MS. VILLA:
24	Right.
25	MS. BIGNER:

The fact that they will have to create a new fund that is formed as a Limited

Partnership may be more than what they're willing to do for the funds.

MS. VILLA:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Uh-huh.

MS. BIGNER:

So we won't know how many will actually decide not to proceed until we get to talking with them a little bit more.

# MS. GLOVER:

I know that y'all have had these internal discussions about it, but I want to echo about making sure someone doesn't -- or one particular fund doesn't suck it up. And I have two other areas of diversity that I want us to focus on. So when I look through the potential organizations that could apply, 62.5 percent of them are in New Orleans, and y'all know how, like, I love New Orleans; who doesn't, right? But wanting to make sure it's not also just one fund that potentially suck it up because they have some quardrails, but that there's also diversification in terms of State. And I love that some people add, and

we also support the rest of Louisiana, and that's not really nice for them to put in there, but I want to make sure it is really looking at the rest of the State.

And then also, I haven't made my way through the entire list to research all of them, but I see a number of them are in technology. So I'd also hate to see a situation where we put up all those quardrails, but still in the end, a large amount went to Venture Capital Funds that supported technology and not food and agriculture or clean energy. So I know that you're already having these discussions, but I just wanted to add those points that beyond just making sure that one individual fund doesn't do it, but also some diversification as it relates to location of organization that's supported across the State and industries that are supported.

### MS. BIGNER:

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Right. LSU and LSU Health, Ochsner, they
-- although their main office may be in New
Orleans or in Baton Rouge, they have offices
across the State. LSU Health has a partner

that is up in North Louisiana, Shreveport, who will also be bringing potential business to the funds, so that will be something that we will push when we talk to them is you're not the only one in New Orleans. If there's an investment, only one Seed fund with SSBCI funds can invest in that company. So we're not going to have three funds investing in one company and no one else getting investments. So they will -- there's Pennington, you know.

There's a lot of incubators and accelerators that are out there that will know about these funds and they have their fingers in all of this across the State. So I expect that, although they may be stationed in New Orleans or have their main office in New Orleans, and I'm hoping that it's statewide and not just in New Orleans or in Baton Rouge. Lake Charles needs a lot, Alexandria, North Louisiana. So some of them have partners that are in other parts of the State that will also be looking at these.

#### MR. JACKSON:

And I'd like to be really clear that it's not a question of doubting staff or anything

like that. I know how hard you've all worked on trying to gain this out and think it through and everything. I think it's important by our asking the questions and getting the answers that we get that kind of on the record so that anybody can see that it really was thought through and you're trying to get it as just as equitable and controlled as possible.

### MS. PORTER:

You're fulfilling the intended purpose for the Board.

### MR. REINE:

MS. BIGNER:

I have a followup for her question.

Without any kind of ranking system, do we have any target areas such as location, such as one proposes to have a positive impact from underserved populations? To me, that should be a target area that they probably need the help more than some of the other people. But if we don't rank any of them, how do we ensure that we identified target areas or maybe the target area -- one of the targets would be Lake Charles that need some help.

1	Right.
2	MR. REINE:
3	But if we don't have any ranking system,
4	how do ensure that we've identified targets
5	and we're giving them priority?
6	MS. BIGNER:
7	The socially economic I'm sorry.
8	MR. REINE:
9	And you might know and I just don't know.
10	MS. BIGNER:
11	The disadvantaged individuals, the
12	setting. Treasury is making that a major
13	goal, that and small business. And so that is
14	a main goal of all of this program is that we
15	want to reach out to those individuals that
16	will have the very small businesses, which is
17	less than ten people and the setting, the low
18	income areas and that type of stuff. We are
19	asking for reporting to make sure, and they
20	will have to give us their location of the
21	company as far as
22	MR. REINE:
23	So are these stated as goals from the
24	getgo so that people know that's our targeted
25	area and that's the priority of the program?

1	MS. BIGNER:
2	Correct.
3	CHAIRMAN ROY:
4	The Secretary has a comment.
5	SECRETARY PIERSON:
6	If I may.
7	MR. REINE:
8	There was in my mind, when you say
9	first come first serve, then you have, without
10	any kind of ranking system, we have certain
11	people of higher priority in certain
12	locations.
13	MS. VILLA:
14	That's sorry.
15	SECRETARY PIERSON:
16	It's baked in. We don't really make all
17	the rules. This is coming to us authorized by
18	Treasury that's saying here are the things you
19	must do. And what we're doing as
20	administrators is making sure that we are in
21	compliance with the way that the funds were
22	intended for disbursement, which is very
23	specifically written up in the program given
24	to us by Treasury. So it's baked in that
25	these micro lending opportunities are going to

be a priority and a requirement. And so as each of those award applicants come forward and say, here's what we're going to do, we're trying to integrate that that meets the objectives that are coming from federal government.

### MS. VILLA:

Right. And what the Board members see is a very, very concise summary of each of the RFQs that we receive. When we put the RFQ out there, the inclusion of the study as we've been talking about was disclosed, like, you all have got to invest. Like, that is going to be a number one rule to ensure that the percentages that are required from Treasury for the investment to be in Seed companies that demonstrate that they are socially and economically disadvantaged. That's the undertone of all of the Seed Capital and the Venture Capital Funds.

So although you see it very succinct and summarized, the information that we receive back from the potential funds all disclose to us how they were going to do that, how they were going to go out and demonstrate and

market to and obtain those individuals for 1 usage into the funds. 3 Do you have anything else to add? 4 MS. GUESS: 5 I was just going to say, I think because 6 it's a summary --7 MR. REINE: I just didn't know. Now, I know and I 8 feel more comfortable. 9 10 MS. GUESS: 11 Yeah, it's a summary. And the RFOs, had 12 we brought all the files in here, we would 13 probably still be trying to bring in stacks of 14 paper. It was very concise and those questions weren't asked then. And then if it 15 16 wasn't clear at the time of the RFO itself, we 17 asked additional questions to make sure that 18 they were meeting the goals that we were 19 targeting. 20 MS. VILLA: 21 I agree with the Board by asking the 22 questions, as you've said, because it does 23 need to go into the record so for those that 24 aren't here can see the conversation that 25 occurs between us as staff and you all as

1	Board Members so that y'all can have an
2	appreciation for what all we are doing behind
3	the scenes to present to you today the Seed
4	Capital Funds.
5	MS. GLOVER:
6	When the organizations that are here
7	applying for funds and they are working with
8	these small disadvantaged business, which
9	is there a particular certification that we
10	are requiring that they use across the board
11	or they could use any certification to
12	determine that an organization is small or a
13	disadvantaged business?
14	MR. JACKSON:
15	That's a
16	MS. RANEY:
17	The U.S. Treasury has a certification
18	form they've provided.
19	MS. GLOVER:
20	Say it one more time.
21	MS. RANEY:
22	The U.S. Department of Treasury has
23	prepared that certification form you're
24	referring to for this setting certification.
25	MR. JACKSON:

1	But there's a specific definition of
2	setting.
3	MS. BIGNER:
4	Right. And on each transaction, they
5	will have to give that information.
6	MS. GLOVER:
7	So I don't disagree that there is a
8	definition. I also know that people gain the
9	system, and that is what I'm trying to figure
10	out is if I claim that I'm one but I'm not
11	actually one, where is the
12	MR. JACKSON:
13	If you meet the definition, by definition
14	you are. Whether you've been able to gain or
15	not, if you can meet the definition
16	MS. GLOVER:
17	If I am a male and I have my wife, my
18	name on the business
19	SECRETARY PIERSON:
20	If you sign the certification and it's
21	not in compliance with the law
22	MS. GLOVER:
23	Then you're breaking the law.
24	SECRETARY PIERSON:
25	then you're breaking the law. So

these will be certified. And if it comes to light that you didn't meet the qualifications and you've made untrue statements, then you're going to be subject to the clawbacks and the other civil penalties.

### MR. JACKSON:

But there are plenty lawyers that make a handsome living figuring out how to fit within those definitions. And that's, unfortunately, not something we have a lot of knowledge of.

MR. SIMPSON:

My question, would there be a failsafe ongoing to ensure that we don't appear to be discriminatory towards, as other Board Members mentioned, everything going to New Orleans or everything going to technology that we're diversifying?

## MS. GUESS:

I think the process of the RFPs or Qs that we underwent, it was open. We contacted or made it public, and these were the applicants. We know that there might be some other applicants from other areas of the State, other disciplines that they may be looking into. And so this is just a first

1	one. We anticipate that as we go along and as
2	some of the capital calls and making more
3	dollars available still on the table, we would
4	be looking at additional rounds of approvals
5	for other venture entities.
6	MS. RANEY:
7	Correct.
8	MR. REINE:
9	One more question, you said that the Feds
10	allow a certain percentage to be invested in
11	another state?
12	MS. BIGNER:
13	Yes, it's 10 percent.
14	MR. REINE:
15	Because that's they are allowing that.
16	But since we have more requests than that, can
17	we limit it to investments within the State?
18	MS. BIGNER:
19	Yes, it's
20	MR. REINE:
21	Or at least 'til we, you know, we fund
22	everybody whose been businesses in Louisiana
23	before we agree to do anybody whose outside
24	the State.
25	MS. BIGNER:

We are not going to point out, you know, that you can market outside. Our emphasis is we're doing this for the State of Louisiana and we want the businesses to be within the State of Louisiana.

### MR. REINE:

Okay. But I kept my house, I can want something, that don't mean I'm going to get it. So can we --

### MS. BIGNER:

We are looking at every transaction. And like I said, if it's one that maybe they're on the line between Louisiana and Mississippi and Mississippi doesn't have a Venture Capital Program, they don't have the Venture Capital Funds or the Seed Funds that Louisiana has, then this company would not be able to take or go to the next step. Unless we assist, then that decision will be made.

## MR. REINE:

My concern is bigger that we have one in Alexandria, but because somebody committed money to a Mississippi company, there's not funds available to help the company that's in Alexandria.

1	MR. JACKSON:
2	Yeah.
3	MR. REINE:
4	So if
5	MR. JACKSON:
6	If Mississippi doesn't have a fund,
7	that's not my problem.
8	MS. BIGNER:
9	Well, and I will tell you, with SSBCI
10	1.0, they were all Louisiana companies.
11	MR. JACKSON:
12	Sure.
13	MS. BIGNER:
14	There are three or four that are well,
15	we only had four. All four of the funds that
16	we did with SSBCI 1.0, of these, one person of
17	those funds is either requesting additional
18	funds or is assisting some of these funds. So
19	they know how to deal with us. There may also
20	be some individuals that we assisted with 20
21	years ago. Matter of fact, there is one,
22	Jefferson Capital. They understand that they
23	have to have a Louisiana office, production
24	office here and that the businesses need to be
25	in Louisiana.

1	MS. VILLA:
2	I would just hate for us to, you know,
3	basically handcuff ourselves, only because I
4	think about what we do in Chalmette at Michoud
5	and how we have that relationship with
6	Stennis, which is in Mississippi. If you've
7	got a young entrepreneur that is starting a
8	Seed company that's going to benefit both
9	Louisiana and Mississippi, I would hate for us
10	to not allow the investment because the Fed
11	does allow up to 10 percent. That's just me.
12	That's just one example and I'm sure there are
13	others.
14	MR. JACKSON:
15	And if the Feds allow it
16	MS. VILLA:
17	Right.
18	MR. JACKSON:
19	I mean that's something that certainly
20	needs to be accomplished.
21	MS. VILLA:
22	Right.
23	MR. JACKSON:
24	And I agree, we don't want to tie hands.
25	MS. VILLA:

1	Right.
2	MR. JACKSON:
3	But by the same token, I think
4	characterizing it as if Mississippi doesn't
5	have the program, we can help out is probably
6	not the best way to characterize it.
7	MS. BIGNER:
8	Okay. And also, these investments are
9	going to be between 25 and 75,000. If the
10	fund is the only investor around, which is
11	highly unlikely, it would be 50 percent of
12	their match and 50 percent of LEDC, so that
13	would only be 12,500. So these are smaller
14	amounts, so these funds will go further.
15	MR. JACKSON:
16	Okay.
17	CHAIRMAN ROY:
18	It sounds like
19	MS. VILLA:
20	All great questions.
21	CHAIRMAN ROY:
22	It is. And it sounds like we're trying
23	to achieve a balancing act, which is
24	MS. BIGNER:
25	Right.

## CHAIRMAN ROY:

-- you don't even know where the pendulum is exactly. It's moving, so you're trying to balance on a moving target; but, yeah, all great questions. I have one. I think Kelly said that 80 percent of the funds have to be distributed, right, or they're going to be clawed back in the first traunch? Is that what you said?

## MS. RANEY:

Eighty percent of the funds in traunch one must be expended before we will be eligible to call in the second traunch. And if a certain date identified by the U.S.

Treasury office in 2025 comes to pass and we have not achieved that goal expending 80 percent, then the U.S. Treasury office will take those funds back into the Fund and view that as the State jurisdiction did not need the funds. So, therefore, that also supports why the timelines and requirements that are being asked of us, we're also passing along to each program participant.

## CHAIRMAN ROY:

And we are also oversubscribed, if you

1	will, to the tune of how many dollars,
2	millions?
3	MS. BIGNER:
4	Yeah, this one was 65. And we were
5	we've budgeted 30 for Seed.
6	CHAIRMAN ROY:
7	Right. And so the final question I have
8	is, we also, I think said, I think you said
9	that we do not think that all of these funds
10	will reach their level of commitment.
11	MS. BIGNER:
12	Correct.
13	CHAIRMAN ROY:
14	And I'm asking
15	MS. BIGNER:
16	I don't expect that we will execute
17	funding agreements with all of these.
18	CHAIRMAN ROY:
19	Right. So I'm just asking you to
20	speculate, you must have speculated as to what
21	extent, what percentage of these will not
22	reach their commitment level? It must have
23	been how you backed into oversubscribing.
24	MS. BIGNER:
25	Right. I would say probably 20 percent

will decide not to. And I would say once they realize the parameters and the contingencies and everything, they will come back with a more realistic amount that they are going to be requesting because they are going to have to understand that we have the deadlines and that there are certain goals that they will have to meet. There's reporting requirements. And if they're not willing to do those things, then they're not going to participate unfortunately or fortunately, I'm not sure. But -- or they may just not invest as much. So that will leave funds for other funds that we'll do and we'll participate and we'll give the funds out. MR. REINE: What's the timeline to draw down the

What's the timeline to draw down the money? So you said it's three traunches of \$10 million?

# MS. RANEY:

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

It is three traunches where the U.S.

Treasury will disperse our total allocation

for the State of Louisiana across that three

traunch timeline over ten years. But for the

first traunch, the date is mid-2025, where --

1	MR. REINE:
2	And that's \$10 million?
3	MS. RANEY:
4	No, sir. That 2025 date is for our
5	entire traunch one, 80 percent to be expended;
6	not just the Seed portion.
7	MR. REINE:
8	So it would be 30 million?
9	MS. VILLA:
10	It's 10 million for the Seed portion of
11	the one that we're reviewing today and
12	10 million is the first traunch.
13	MR. REINE:
14	Okay. So we get 10 million?
15	MS. VILLA:
16	Yes.
17	MR. REINE:
18	And so the total for this program is?
19	MS. VILLA:
20	Thirty million.
21	MR. REINE:
22	Thirty million. So we need to get
23	commitment for 10 first. So when does the
24	next ten come?
25	MS. BIGNER:

1	Okay. For it to be applied to that 80
2	percent, they will have to not only do a
3	capital call, but they will have to truly
4	invest in the company.
5	MR. REINE:
6	So the second comes after the first is
7	invested and it's not a certain date?
8	MS. VILLA:
9	Two and a half years is what
10	MS. BIGNER:
11	Yeah. So if we give them \$500,000 on
12	their first capital call, they will have to
13	show us that they have invested at least 80
14	percent of that 500,000 and we have we will
15	have looked at all of the transactions, and
16	they will have to show us that they have a
17	deal flow that they are expecting to invest in
18	and what they're expecting with this next
19	capital call. So there's a lot of balances.
20	MR. REINE:
21	Okay. I don't do this for a living, so
22	
23	MS. BIGNER:
24	I understand.
25	MR. REINE:

1	we've got we're going to get
2	\$10 million, but we're going to commit it and
3	we have to do 80 percent of that. Is the next
4	10 million come when you meet that goal or
5	does the next 10 million come on a certain
6	date and you have to do everything before the
7	certain date? I just I want to understand.
8	MS. GUESS:
9	Yeah. The first \$10 million and the
10	answer is, yes, you have to do it by a certain
11	date. We have to expend at least 80 percent
12	of that traunch.
13	MR. REINE:
14	When you spend the 80 percent, is the
15	next 10 million available?
16	MS. GUESS:
17	That has to take place by the year 2025.
18	MS. VILLA:
19	Right.
20	MR. JACKSON:
21	If you expend it earlier, you can
22	MS. GUESS:
23	You can draw it down earlier.
24	MR. REINE:
25	That was my question.
J	

1	MR. JACKSON:
2	But the 80 percent is the total amount of
3	monies subject to Seed or Venture or small
4	business.
5	MS. GUESS:
6	It's all worked into all the programs.
7	MR. JACKSON: So all of the programs have to
8	hit the 80 percent.
9	MS. GUESS:
10	All programs.
11	MR. JACKSON:
12	Or some from the
13	MS. GUESS:
14	Or some right.
15	MR. JACKSON:
16	But the whole pool has to hit 80 percent
17	before we get to draw the next
18	MS. VILLA:
19	By the middle of 2025. And my
20	appreciation is, then they will review
21	everything that we have done to ensure that we
22	have been within compliance before that second
23	traunch is given.
24	MS. GUESS:
25	That's correct.

1	MR. JACKSON:
2	And that's really the reason you've got
3	flexibility to move between the programs
4	MS. VILLA: For.
5	the next two and a half years to ensure
6	that we get yes. We meet the 80 percent
7	and we meet the intended goals of the program.
8	MR. JACKSON:
9	So that's really part of the dashboard we
10	need to create, how much of the total pool has
11	been allocated and expended as of each meeting
12	with maybe a bar that shows where we stand
13	with each one of them.
14	MS. VILLA:
15	And we're in the midst of working for a
16	technical platform to support the
17	administration of the program because it's a
18	lot of
19	MR. JACKSON:
20	That's really the bigger piece. That's
21	the bigger challenge.
22	MS. VILLA:
23	It's a lot of details and transactions
24	that we're going to have to be reviewing and
25	presenting reports to not just to you, the

1	Board, but also to Treasury.
2	MR. JACKSON:
3	Was it like this ten years ago when it
4	MS. VILLA:
5	Well, there wasn't any
6	MS. BIGNER:
7	It was \$13 million
8	MS. VILLA:
9	Exactly.
10	MS. BIGNER:
11	10 years ago, 11 years ago. Only five
12	went to Venture Capital. We had four funds.
13	There are additional certifications. There
14	are different there are stronger
15	requirements. We did not a fund did not
16	have to set up a limited partnership to be
17	able to participate at that time, so the
18	reporting is stronger this time. The
19	certifications are a little bit different.
20	The traunches, we understand because we did
21	that. But, I mean, this is so much more
22	money.
23	MR. JACKSON:
24	Yeah.
25	CHAIRMAN ROY:

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Question, do -- it would seem to me that there's sort of a finite potential group in Louisiana that would fall within the applicable definitions that might qualify. Are we confident pursuant to the sentiment of the Board and everybody constantly concerned about areas across the State that have needs and trying to market to them, are we confident that we have such a list and that we market to them accordingly to let them know about that?

MS. GUESS:

I think we are. One of the things that was a requirement in the RFQ process was that they had to give us their service areas. as Susan mentioned, the way we, a firm that's located in one part of the State, if you're going to be reaching out to the rural part, to reach more of the disadvantaged individuals to setting individuals, you know, there's data that shows where these pockets are. So you would have to that make that determination or the determination was given to us for us to take a look at to see how far reaching your arms would be as far as your deal flow. we're hopeful that that was done in a very

equitable manner to make sure we did reach all of those areas.

## CHAIRMAN ROY:

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Am I correct in assuming that there's sort of a finite group that might meet the definition of those that might qualify?

MS. GUESS:

Yes.

### MS. GLOVER:

Might I suggest that whatever programming that LED does and whatever collaboration y'all have with the small business owners that there be multiple workshops when funding your growth through debt versus equity. I think there are small businesses who operate each day just thinking how am I going to get through to the next paycheck or grow as a business, and they have no idea. And for those of us who are sitting here, maybe debt and equity is a conversation we have all the time, there are a ton of organizations and a ton of people who don't get it, don't know it, don't understand it who wouldn't even apply to this or would not even know to apply to one of these funds, so as much as we can have. And I'm not saying that they've never existed before, I'm sure they have, but if we could maybe increase the number of workshops that we are having in partnerships with those organizations that helps small businesses understand debt versus equity in terms of funding or growth, I think that would be helpful.

#### SECRETARY PIERSON:

And that speaks to another element here outside of this particular program that we're making an allocation for additional fundings that provide for technical assistance. And so there's a whole 'nother wave of funding that's going to allow us to push out and enhance this outreach in the communities and be putting boots on the ground to hold the hand in the companies that have the capacity to participate in this program and create that win/win scenario that we're trying to get to. So you make a great point, but it's nice to know there's going to be resources that help us push this forward.

### MS. VILLA:

In addition to that, Secretary and the Board, there's a marketing RFP specific to the

State's Small Business Credit Initiative that 1 we're working on getting onto the street 3 because it's very, very specific goals that we want to achieve through that marketing 4 5 contract, so we're hopeful to get that hopefully on the street soon. I would put --6 7 I would hope that it would be on the street by now, but it's not, so we're working through 8 9 that as well as putting that RFP out there. 10 But in the meantime, we're going to utilize an 11 emergency contract with the marketing so that 12 we can actually start the process as soon as 13 we have the award. 14 MS. GLOVER: 15 Whose our emergency contract with? 16 MS. VILLA: 17 It's with M Street X. They are 18 experienced in space. They have principles 19 that were with U.S. Treasury prior to and 20 SSBCI 1.0. And one of the principles was 21 Louisiana's point of contact, and so he has a 22 very deep appreciation for our State and what 23 our needs are. 24 MS. RANEY: I just want to add, one of the features 25

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that we are pursuing with this new marketing additional support is a matchmaking feature initiative to where a business owner can go in and enter and describe their needs for their business, answer a few basic questions, and they -- the system will populate not only potential providers for financing, whether it will identify if maybe a loan or if an equity investment would be most appropriate. there will also be some, as you refer to, workshops, I'd say educational courses maybe that would help explain some of those as well. So that's on top of the technical assistance that will soon come our way. And, again, that's another layer over some of the services that LED provides on a daily basis. CHAIRMAN ROY: If we've aired it out enough for the moment, we'll let Ms. Bigner continue and then we can perhaps entertain some more questions. I don't mean to cut it short, but any other questions from the Board for the moment? Okay. Ms. Bigner? MS. BIGNER: All right. I think we were on Benson

Capital Partners. Gail Benson is the sole member of Benson Capital Partners and is responsible for all private capital with their office located in New Orleans. And, again, \$5 million, she's ready to commit \$5 million.

Boot64 Ventures, although this would be a new for-profit limited partnership, the general partners have five years' extensive experience with Venture Capital and entrepreneurship. Their office is located in Metairie and will focus on urban and rural small businesses, including low income across the State. Boot64 has extensive networks and can reach out to advisors in all areas of need. Fundraising will begin upon approval.

East Square JDJ doing business as East Square JDJ Delta LP requesting up to \$5 million. The original fund became operational in 2020 with a management team that has four or more years of experience in investing. The group is expecting to raise between \$50 million and \$100 million with a target to food and agricultural industries. The general partners and the management team will collaborate to ensure guidance and services

are provided to the investment companies. They are located in Breaux Bridge and they have already secured over \$5 million in investments -- in commitments, excuse me.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Next, we have Greater New Orleans Development Foundation doing business as the Innovation Fund requesting up to \$5 million. Greater New Orleans Development Foundation is the nonprofit affiliate of Greater New Orleans Inc., or often referred to as GNO, Inc. proposed fund will contract with New Orleans Startup Fund to manage the fund and perform due diligence. With the approval of SSBCI capital, GNODF will create a new nonprofit fund with a dedicated investment committee to make the investments decisions. They will be headquartered in New Orleans with administrative and growth services will be provided through GNO, Inc. The fund has approximately \$750,000 in cash with \$1.5 million in commitments of private donations with additional fundraising expected.

As I said, New Orleans Startup Fund will be doing their day to day -- will be assisting them with the day to day operations. New

Orleans Startup Fund was part of the SSBCI 1.0 and they have come back for an additional request through the Seed Capital.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The first submission for Innovation Catalyst is requesting up to \$2.5 million. Some of you may have recognized Innovation Catalyst through their evergreen subsidiary, The Catalyst Fund, which participated in SSBCI 1.0 with a \$1.1 million investment. The same management team as The Catalyst Fund will operate this fund with over 20 years of experience in the venture capital field. is an existing nonprofit fund with Innovation Catalyst, Inc. and LEDC as the only investors. Innovation Catalyst has seen returns on known small -- Louisiana small businesses with approved instruction. Located in Baton Rouge in the same space and working with Nexus Louisiana, Innovation Catalyst will be able to offer office space, growth services, and mentorship. They will be focusing on the very early and the very -- more of an idea than a growth related.

The second submission will be more for companies that are still in the Seed --

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

they're still in that area where they still need early funding and Seed funding, but they're ready for more of a growth. that's where the Innovation Catalyst will do a for-profit fund called Grow Innovation Ventures, and they will be requesting up to \$5 million. Greaux Innovation Ventures will be a subsidiary of Innovation Catalyst and the same management team previously mentioned will manage this fund. It will be a new for-profit limited partnership with a separate investment committee and will focus on more growth This will be companies that are investment. past the initial phase and are starting to grow but need more funds before they are ready for series funding.

Again, they work directly with Nexus at their Baton Rouge office. And Innovation Catalyst will begin reaching out to other investors upon the approval to raise the necessary capital to meet -- to match the SSBCI request.

The next submission is Louisiana State
University/LSU Foundation doing business as
LSU Bengal Fund, and they are requesting up to

\$2.5 million. Last month, Louisiana State
University and Louisiana -- and LSU Foundation
were approved for the Louisiana Venture
Capital Program for up to \$10 million for the
LSU Tiger Fund. The Tiger Fund will be
focusing on the venture, the more growth
program, the more growth companies. LSU
Bengal will be focusing on the early stage and
the Seed.

Each fund will be handled separately with a separate management team. And this new nonprofit fund will be created upon approval today. The Office of Innovation and Echo System will manage the fund, along with a leadership team that will be assisted with undergraduate and graduate students. The Bengal fund will be located at the main campus of LSU in Baton Rouge and capital will be raised through LSU and LSU Foundation.

LSU Health Foundation doing business as the LSU Health Foundation Seed Capital
Innovation Fund requesting up to \$1 million.
This is another entity that was approved last month for up to a million dollars for the
Louisiana Venture Capital Program. LSU Health

Foundation proposes that both the VC and the Seed Fund will be operated out of their existing fund. The Foundation already has a \$3 million pool to match -- funds to match with returns from mission-related investments. The LSU Health Foundation is located in New Orleans, but sources still flow from all areas of the State including North Louisiana.

New Orleans BioInnovation Center, also known as NOBIC doing business as New Orleans BioFund requesting up to \$5 million. New Orleans BioFund was founded in 2012 and has two previous funds. The managing director has more than 8 years of experience with the New Orleans BioFund. Support staff appears to have four plus years of experience as well. This fund will have a new investment committee separate from their existing New Orleans BioFund Committee to make all of the investment decisions separate from the previous funds.

Joseph Lovett from Louisiana Fund I,
which is a non-SSBCI general partner of an
LEDC fund called Louisiana Fund I is part of
New Orleans BioFund and their Investment

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Board. This will be a new nonprofit fund with NOBIC as the Anchor Principal Investor and New Orleans BioFund, III general partner as the general partner. This entity will be managed with the assistance from Student Experiential Learning, which will be including students from Xavier, Tulane, LSU and UNO. NOBIC may provide office space, lab space, technical assistance and/or administrative support to portfolio companies. Currently, the BioFund has committed 20 percent of the cash needed for the match.

New Orleans Startup Fund is requesting up to \$5 million. This is also a fund that participated in SSBCI 1.0, along with its Initiative PowerMoves NOLA, which was launched in 2014. New Orleans Startup Fund received \$2 million from SSBCI 1.0, and all those funds have been invested and the Fund has already experienced a couple of good returns with those funds. The management team of the Fund is well versed in Venture Capital with most having over 10 years of experience. existing nonprofit fund has an experienced structure to market, screen, and invest in

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Startups and Seed companies across the state. They are located in New Orleans and have \$1.2 million in cash and commitments with an additional \$1 million in investment returns that are dedicated by the Fund with additional funds to be raised.

Up next is Nichols State University doing business as Bayou Startup Fund requesting up to \$5 million. Located at the Nichols University Campus in Thibodeaux, Bayou Startup will be managed by Callais Capital Management. And as a special note, Callais Capital Management was approved for up to \$10 million of SSBCI capital with the Louisiana Venture Capital program last month. An Advisory Investment Committee will be created to make investment decisions. Nichols State University has created Bayou incubator, and is in the process of creating Bayou accelerator to provide services for entrepreneurs in small businesses in the Bayou Region. An incubator helps entrepreneurs flush out business ideas. while accelerators expedite growth of existing businesses. This new for-profit fund will begin raising funds upon approval.

Ochsner Ventures is requesting up to \$5 million. This is another entity that was approved for the Louisiana Venture Capital Program for up to \$10 million last month.

Ochsner is proposing to operate both the Seed and the Venture out of their existing nonprofit fund. Healthcare Innovation Fund out of Lafayette, which is now part of Ochsner Health System, received \$1 million in Seed funding during SSBCI 1.0 and will be advising Ochsner's ventures. Ochsner is located in New Orleans but has locations throughout the state, and is funded from the nonprofit healthcare system. Therefore, there is no fundraising.

Next, we have Social Entrepreneurs of New Orleans doing business as Propeller: A Force for Social Innovation doing business as Propeller Impact Fund, II requesting up to \$3 million. That is a mouthful. They are located in New Orleans and have been in existence for over 13 years with a management team with over 10 years of experience. It has a coworker space in an accelerator program. New Orleans Startup Fund will continue to

serve as Propeller's fiduciary agent. An Investment Advisory Committee will make all investment decisions for Propeller. This new nonprofit will be formed upon approval, and this will be the second fund funded by Propeller.

The Idea Village is requesting up to \$5 million. The Idea Village and VILLAGEX, their accelerator, has been operating for over 20 years. It is well known throughout Louisiana for its successes with its existing programs. A new nonprofit fund will be created as a special purpose vehicle to assist entrepreneurs who complete the accelerator program. Their office is located in New Orleans and has received \$4.95 million in commitments and is seeking additional commitments for the special purpose vehicle.

The last one is Tulane Innovation

Institute doing business as Tulane University

Innovation Institute Persons of Color Startup

Fund requesting up to \$5 million. As part of

Tulane University, Tulane Innovation Institute

is a diverse program with three components to

assist startups in the community. Tulane's

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

program focuses on commercialized, energized, and vitalized for startups and entrepreneurs throughout Louisiana. As part of vitalize, the fund will be a new nonprofit fund to be created upon approval. A fund manager will be hired with all funds to be appropriated from Tulane University. Tulane Innovation Institute will provide all services through its two campuses, one uptown in New Orleans and the other downtown New Orleans.

Staff is seeking approval of these Seed capital entities for eligibility not to exceed the stated amount depending on private capital match, contingencies, and parameters for the Louisiana Seed Capital Program. As part of contingencies, LEDC will require each fund's entity to include the following requirements: They must meet the definition of a Venture Capital Fund as defined by Federal Rule 275.203(1)-1, which states that they must be a private fund as defined under the Investment Advisory Act, represent to investors that it pursues a Venture Capital structure, generally limits redemption rights, holds no more than 20 percent of the amount of the funds

1 aggregate capital contributions and uncalled capital commitments in no-qualifying 3 investments, and limits the use of leverage. 4 Each of these funds must have -- be 5 closed end, and we are suggesting that they be 6 10-year term with two possible extensions for 7 exiting of investments. The fund must be 8 formed as an LLC or partnership with LEDC as a 9 limited partner. They must meet the guidance, 10 rules, and requirements established by the 11 U.S. Treasury and LEDC. SSBCI capital must be 12 treated Pari Passu or better as the Private 13 Capital invested in each support fund, and all 14 participating investors will be required to 15 share in the investment portfolio risks in 16 line with industry standards. Each fund 17 should be defined as a Venture Capital Fund, and either 3(c)1 fund or a 3(c)7 fund as 18 19 outlined in the Investment Company Act of 20 1940. In addition, it must be ruled by 21 Regulation D of the Securities Act of 1933. 22 Are there any questions? 23 MR. REINE: 24 What is Pari --25 MS. BIGNER:

1	Pari Passu?
2	MR. REINE:
3	Yeah.
4	MS. BIGNER:
5	It means or better. So our the SSBCI,
6	they're actually supposed to be on an even
7	queue where SSBCI has more of a focus than the
8	private investment.
9	MR. REINE:
10	So y'all are seeking approval of these
11	capital entities for eligibility. Would it be
12	limited to these or
13	MS. BIGNER:
14	There will be there will be options
15	for additional funds to apply or do a
16	submission, an RFQ submission. So and we also
17	have five or seven funds that when they did
18	their RFQ, they did not submit sufficient
19	information for us to do a thorough review,
20	and those will probably be presented in
21	November.
22	MR. REINE:
23	So there would be another opportunity to
24	approve additional funds?
25	MS. BIGNER:

There is another opportunity to approve 1 additional funds. 2 CHAIRMAN ROY: 3 4 You anticipate that will be for one or 5 two? 6 MS. RANEY: 7 It really could depend upon how quickly they can get their application information 8 9 together. Of those that Susan just stated, we 10 have already notified them of the information 11 that we need. And so while we're intending to 12 have those back, they're ready for in 13 As Susan stated, we know of some November. 14 others who may be interested in sending an RFO 15 application possibly next year. So that would 16 probably be more in line towards traunch two. 17 Getting it started, of course, impacts the 18 time left to make those investments by the due 19 date before we can call in our traunch two. 20 MR. JACKSON: 21 So all of these that we're looking 22 at, we are strictly going to make a commitment 23 to them of the \$10 million traunch one 24 allowance; is that correct? Plus, it --25 SECRETARY PIERSON:

1	They become eligible.
2	MR. JACKSON:
3	Right.
4	SECRETARY PIERSON:
5	There's no assurance that we'll get a
6	traunch, but they are the first pool, and we
7	can add to the pool later.
8	MR. JACKSON:
9	Right.
10	SECRETARY PIERSON:
11	But this is your first class of
12	recognized entities.
13	MR. JACKSON:
14	And they would already be approved when
15	we get a traunch two pool on or before 2025,
16	they would already be on the list for
17	additional monies plus anybody else?
18	SECRETARY PIERSON:
19	We can't make that commitment. They
20	might be subject to recertification. There's
21	certainly, as the Undersecretary indicated,
22	before we get to going on the second traunch
23	into the bird feeder, we have to be confident
24	that the funds for U.S. Treasury inspection
25	went out in a way that was directed by the

1	program requirements.
2	MR. JACKSON:
3	Okay.
4	MR. SIMPSON:
5	Move to approve.
6	CHAIRMAN ROY:
7	Motion for
8	MR. REINE:
9	I've got a substitution motion.
10	CHAIRMAN ROY:
11	Okay.
12	MR. REINE:
13	Move to approve contingent on providing
14	all information requested by the Department
15	and they meet all the criteria stated below.
16	CHAIRMAN ROY:
17	All right. I think you vote on the
18	substitute motion before, unless perhaps you
19	want to withdraw the original one?
20	MR. SIMPSON:
21	I'll withdraw the original one.
22	CHAIRMAN ROY:
23	Okay. So that makes it easier. That
24	way, we have a motion
25	MR. SIMPSON:

1	I second.
2	CHAIRMAN ROY:
3	a motion by Mr. Reine; seconded by
4	Mr. Simpson. Any other discussion?
5	MS. BIGNER:
6	Okay. So the motion is going to make
7	sure that these entities are eligible not to
8	exceed the stated amount depending on Private
9	Capital match, all the contingencies that have
10	been listed, and the parameters of the
11	program, is that that is
12	MR. REINE:
13	And that they provide any information
14	that's necessary for, I understood, that maybe
15	some of them haven't given you all the
16	information.
17	MS. BIGNER:
18	Those are not among these.
19	MR. REINE:
20	Oh, okay. Well, it's not going to hurt
21	it.
22	CHAIRMAN ROY:
23	Mr. Simpson, is that your second?
24	MR. SIMPSON:
25	Second.

1	CHAIRMAN ROY:
2	Okay. Is that correct?
3	MS. BIGNER:
4	That's it, yes, sir.
5	CHAIRMAN ROY:
6	Any other discussion as to yes, sir?
7	MR. GEORGEWILL:
8	Yeah, for simplicity's sake or at least
9	for my benefit at least, is it possible to
10	have a running list of what's been allocated
11	by region, what's been drawn as we kind of
12	progress through these just so that we can
13	kind of answer questions, I think, previously
14	asked by others?
15	MS. BIGNER:
16	Yes, sir, we can do that.
17	MR. GEORGEWILL:
18	Thank you.
19	CHAIRMAN ROY:
20	Very good. Any other discussion?
21	Any comments from the public?
22	MR. GEORGEWILL:
23	Industry, is that what you asked for as
24	far as yeah, that would be great as well.
25	CHAIRMAN ROY:

1	All right. So by way of tracking, good
2	suggestion. So any no comments from the
3	public. All in favor, aye?
4	ALL MEMBERS:
5	Aye.
6	CHAIRMAN ROY:
7	All opposed? Nay?
8	Without an objection, congratulations,
9	Susan.
10	MS. BIGNER:
11	Thank you. And I appreciate your
12	patience.
13	MR. REINE:
14	Appreciate yours.
15	MS. BIGNER:
16	Thank you.
17	CHAIRMAN ROY:
18	All right. It was a good discussion
19	aired out as it should have been. Next order
20	of business is the Treasurer's Report,
21	Ms. Villa?
22	MS. VILLA:
23	Good morning. The Secretary-Treasurer's
24	Report as of September 27, 2022 for Fiscal
25	Year '23. Budget across all programs, and

I'll go into the details, \$17,623,555. We have programs that have been approved, \$3,600,000, which gives us \$14,023,555. And we have projects that are under review by staff of \$2,575,000, which gives us a projected year-end balance of \$11,448,555.

And go to the next page, that's basically our financial assistance program \$190,000. We don't have any activity there, and we haven't been awarded the State's Small Business Credit Initiative just yet. We are in the midst of submitting some additional documentation to them. I got an email this morning before the Board of which we will do before their deadline of Tuesday. So, hopefully, we're very near that finish line to cross, so we'll keep you updated.

So our Capital Outlay Appropriation for the year is \$17,433,555. And the soliciting of projects that you all have approved so far this year is \$3.6, but have been previously approved by the Board, and the listing of the six or seven projects that we have under review still. I met with legal yesterday.

Robin and I went through those open contracts,

1	and we're hopeful those applications are
2	coming through and we can get those
3	executed I'm sorry, brought to the Board
4	for approval so we can execute those soon.
5	With those projects under review, it
6	leaves us, again, with a balance for the year
7	of \$11,258,555. The next page is
8	intentionally left blank.
9	And then we go to just the overall of our
10	funds availability, our revenue for FY'23
11	projection \$40,525,179. And we have
12	commitments of \$17,324,682, which leaves a
13	balance of \$23,200,497.
14	That's what I have for the
15	Secretary-Treasurer's Report for this month.
16	If you have any questions, I'd be glad to
17	entertain those.
18	CHAIRMAN ROY:
19	Questions? Comments?
20	MR. JACKSON:
21	Motion to approve.
22	CHAIRMAN ROY:
23	Motion for approval as presented.
24	MR. REINE:
25	Second.

1	CHAIRMAN ROY:
2	Second. Any discussion?
3	Comments from the public?
4	Hearing none, all in favor aye?
5	ALL MEMBERS:
6	Aye.
7	CHAIRMAN ROY:
8	All opposed, nay. Without objection.
9	All right. Ms. Dalgo, Accountant's
10	Report? Good morning.
11	MS. DALGO:
12	Good morning. I'm Crystal Dalgo. I'll
13	be presenting you the LEDC Accountant Status
14	Report. The SSBCI 1.0 Guaranteed Loan
15	Portfolio as of August 31st, 2022, it consists
16	of 13 loans and the Portfolio totals
17	\$2,764,454 and all loans are current. The
18	allowance for the SSBCI 1.0 Guarantee Loan
19	Loss is \$497,602 and it's reflected at the
20	current rate at 18 percent.
21	Next, we have the EDAP Loan Portfolio.
22	It consists of three loans, the Town of
23	Colfax, City of Bastrop, and the Town of
24	Vivian. As of September 30, 2022, this
25	Portfolio totals \$332,300, and the allowance

for the EDAP loan loss is \$49,845 and is reflected at 15 percent. I believe this month, the month of October will be the Town of Colfax. They should be making a final payment, so that balance will be -- should be paid off and reflected in my next report.

And last, I have the last page, the LEDC Funds Guaranteed Loan Portfolio. It consists of two loans. And as of August 31, 2022, it totals \$1,372,350. The Allowance for this Portfolio is \$247,024 and is reflected at the current rate of 18 percent.

And that concludes my report. Are there any questions?

### MS. GLOVER:

There is for me. I'm sorry. I'm trying to understand. Okay. When I look back at the LEDC Funds Guaranteed Loan Portfolio, and this is a question about the loan loss area, I'm trying to understand the difference of why one on the Guaranteed Loan Portfolio appears in the positive, but on the ones for EDAP and for SSBCI, that they appear in the negative? And this is just me trying to understand it and have a clarification between why it's the way

on one and not the other one. I'm on the second half of the report where it's a loan loss.

### MS. DALGO:

Let's see, I believe because of the balances had started in the prior -- have been existing longer on there and that is why, because they're from a rolling total from years prior; to whereas, this third page is more the new recycled loans or Guaranteed Loan Portfolio that has been moved and started with the loan program with the leftover dollars from the SSBCI 1.0. So it hasn't been in existence as long as -- I'm pretty sure that is why that is doing it like that.

#### MS. VILLA:

What the Allowance is for the EDAP Loan Losses, it shows a reduction to the reserve where when you go to the Allowance for LEDC Funds Loan Guarantee, which as Crystal said, is a relatively-new program because it's from the recycled dollars, it's an addition to the previous balance. So one is a reduction and the other one is an addition. That's why, and timing, the length of the programs.

1	MS. DALGO:
2	Thank you.
3	MS. VILLA:
4	Not as large of a reserve was needed in
5	the first one, so she was reducing it. We've
6	reduced it. And then the next one, we needed
7	to add it.
8	MS. DALGO:
9	Okay. Yeah, that's that's the
10	difference. I see that, yeah.
11	MS. VILLA:
12	One is a reduction, one is an addition to
13	the balance.
14	MS. GLOVER:
15	Okay.
16	MR. REINE:
17	Under the LEDC Funds Guaranteed, it says
18	original loan date was 3/31/2021, so it's
19	excess of 18 months and they've paid down \$120
20	from \$800,000?
21	MS. DALGO:
22	That is proportionate to the balance
23	percentage difference. I know that they had
24	showed for a year, they had got the extension.
25	

1	Kelly, do you remember the NOLA Detox,
2	the extension, if they had the extension
3	period?
4	MS. RANEY:
5	I believe they had an extension, you're
6	correct, and I can get those details and send
7	them to you afterwards. I don't have that
8	with me.
9	MR. REINE:
10	Was that for Covid or something?
11	MS. DALGO:
12	Yeah, it's been showing since it's been
13	approved.
14	MR. REINE:
15	Okay. It looks like there's no
16	repayments of loans though.
17	MS. DALGO:
18	Yeah.
19	MR. REINE:
20	Right. And on these, I guess it's the
21	Loan Guarantees, the when we looked at
22	that, there were a certain number of jobs and
23	wages and we check all of that?
24	MS. DALGO:
25	Yeah, that is checked.

1	MR. REINE:
2	So they're meeting what they promised?
3	MS. DALGO:
4	As far as we are
5	MS. GUESS:
6	Yeah, that's not it wouldn't be
7	reflected on the Accountant's Report, but that
8	would be in a yearly review that takes place
9	for each of the program administrators, and
10	with their assigned portfolio. I think we're
11	in the process of beginning to start the one
12	this year. But thus far, they have been
13	meeting their intended obligation.
14	MR. REINE:
15	Okay.
16	CHAIRMAN ROY:
17	Any other questions?
18	MR. REINE:
19	Accept the report.
20	CHAIRMAN ROY:
21	Motion for acceptance.
22	MS. GLOVER:
23	Second.
24	CHAIRMAN ROY:
25	Second by Ms. Glover. I have one

1	question. The account for the current, the
2	balances of the current 18 percent, just
3	curious, on the EDAP, we account for that
4	15 percent. How do we what's the
5	distinction?
6	MS. DALGO:
7	That was set
8	CHAIRMAN ROY:
9	See the 15 versus the 18? Of course, we
10	say if it's past due, it would be 25 to 100.
11	MS. DALGO:
12	Right.
13	CHAIRMAN ROY:
14	Just wondering why it's 15 versus 18.
15	MS. DALGO:
16	Right. I can look into that and get that
17	to you. I'm not sure what's the difference.
18	I just know it was set prior to.
19	CHAIRMAN ROY:
20	Okay. Motion for approval as presented
21	and second.
22	Any comments from the public?
23	Hearing none, all in favor aye?
24	ALL MEMBERS:
25	Aye.
J	1

# 1 CHAIRMAN ROY: All opposed, nay. It's accepted. Thank 3 you. 4 MS. DALGO: 5 Thank you. 6 CHAIRMAN ROY: 7 All right. And we're privileged to have Secretary Pierson here with us this morning to 8 9 give the President's Report. 10 SECRETARY PIERSON: Thank you all for your 11 time and attention. You've found yourselves 12 in a position of serving at a very interesting 13 time for us as we work our way through this 14 fantastic opportunity, the State's Small 15 Business Credit Initiative. This morning, 16 you've dealt with a portion of it. 17 the Venture Capital portion of it and where 18 you will identify the first grouping of 19 Venture Capital organizations across our State 20 at an expressed interest in participating in 21 the program, willingness to comply with the 22 U.S. Treasury quidance that will be a part of 23 We still stand on the brink of this. 24 receiving additional funding for our Loan 25 Guarantee Program and also for launching new

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

micro lending programs. So we've been very active in preparation for technical assistance and the communication that we involve when we finally get the disbursement from U.S.

Treasury that will put us in position to begin to make disbursements that will then become investments and move through the economy and achieve the goals that we have set out.

I completely understand the Board's ideas around a dashboard so to speak so that we can track that we're getting the regional disbursement of these funds and that they don't pile up at one specific part of our State, and also the diverse portfolio of not just in technology but life sciences, energy, lots of areas where the technology's moving forward today. Again, a purpose of this and also to reach the U.S. Treasury guidance and goals for underserved populations and smaller companies that will be a part of this. So all that analysis will take place and be integrated into the process and come back to you to keep you abreast of it.

Certainly, the State is very active right now. We're playing a leadership role

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

nationally in the energy transition. I'm very pleased to report to you that we've been awarded an economic level administration build back federal award of \$50 million, which we matched by \$25 million from the State for the development of the hydrogen economy. There's a whole long list of programs that's under that. But, you know, the backdrop to that is not walking away from our traditional oil and gas industry.

Pleased to announce, this week a program in Lafayette wherein Schlumberger will invest \$11.5 million for the North Gulf Coast Operations Hub. The North Gulf Coast Operations Hub will continue to allow us to play the important role in gulf operations in securing oil and gas that's going to be with us as far as the eye can see. The integration of new renewable and hydrogen economy doesn't eliminate fossil fuels; it just reduces greenhouses gasses. That was a very significant announcement made by CF Industries yesterday and the Governor's leadership for a major carbon capture project that will help us do that goal to get to net zero by 2050.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So pleased with the EDA awards for the hydrogen economy, but also note the EDA awards made it to New Orleans for a jazz museum, and an EDA award more importantly was made into northeast Louisiana for the BioMedical Research and Innovation Park that played a major role in helping to establish and move along in that area. The Delta Regional Authority awarded 16 projects across Louisiana, largely in rural areas around \$4 million in the aggregate but leveraging a lot of modernization of water and sewer systems that's out there. That's a critical need for our rural parishes. We've been out with a what we call our rural road shows working across the state to make sure that in our smaller communities that they're very well aware of the toolbox of programs that are available.

We continue to talk about SSBCI as we go on horizon, so we're all glad here that we're really getting close to the demarcation line of those funds in the LEDC account and we can begin the disbursement and investment program associated with that. We have a new program

called the Louisiana Competes Regional

Economic Development Program that's aimed at site enhancement. This is a companion piece to the outstanding contributions made by this body to help us support our certified sites program, but will also in that certified sites program when we see some improvements that need to be made, that we make these certified sites even better that we have some resources available to be able to do that. Now, we're working across the regions to help with that program.

We're ramping up for Veterans Day, 11

November, that's approximately 30 days out.

We're going to make sure our stakeholders are well aware of the programs that we have in our toolkit for Veterans. The Veterans certified businesses, the Veterans First Program now which has more than 900 certified veteran businesses across the State and all things in the toolkit to include the Loan Guarantee program that we manage here are available out there.

So a lot of good work done today. Thank you again for your continued support and to

1	move these important initiatives forward. I'd
2	be happy to answer any questions you might
3	have.
4	CHAIRMAN ROY:
5	Thank you, Mr. Secretary. Any other
6	business before the Board?
7	MS. VILLA:
8	Just sorry. Can you come up? Just
9	one thing that the HR director from LED, Dawn
10	Thibodeaux would have sent to you probably
11	this morning the required the report of the
12	required training that you all need. So just
13	want to be mindful that those are due by the
14	end of the year, so just wanted to bring it up
15	in the Board meeting. And I'll probably
16	remind you again next month and probably again
17	in December. So I just don't want anyone to
18	be late in submitting the required training.
19	CHAIRMAN ROY:
20	That would be the disclosure ethics and
21	sexual harassment?
22	MS. VILLA:
23	Yeah. The disclosure will be due in
24	2023. I think May comes to mind, that it's
25	due in May, but the training is due for

1	ethics, and for sexual harassment is due
2	before the end of the year.
3	CHAIRMAN ROY:
4	Thank you. You can continue to remind
5	us.
6	MS. BIGNER:
7	We do have someone from the public that
8	would
9	CHAIRMAN ROY:
10	We want no comment on this. All right.
11	Nothing else before the Board?
12	Sorry. We do. Yes, ma'am, come on up.
13	MS. POITEVENT:
14	All right. My name is Evie Poitevent,
15	and I was wondering if it would be possible to
16	make a request related to the dashboard, if I
17	may. And in addition to the allocations by
18	region and by industry, would it be possible
19	to also notate ideally for VC and Seed the
20	number of applications that were received by
21	region and so it puts it in context a bit?
22	And also, maybe the dollar amounts that were
23	requested by regions? So, again, there's some
24	context there. And then also in addition to
25	Industry allocation by Industry, could it

ļ	
1	also be allocation by sort of initiatives or
2	focus areas? Because I know in some cases,
3	there may have been a focus on more the
4	individuals, the socioeconomic demographics of
5	founders versus what their industry sectors
6	are. Does that make sense?
7	CHAIRMAN ROY:
8	And you're referencing the SSBCI?
9	MS. POITEVENT:
10	Yes. I'm sorry. This is for SSBCI,
11	Seed, Capital, and Venture Capital if it's
12	feasible to do that too.
13	MR. REINE:
14	Okay. And just for the record, what was
15	your name?
16	MS. POITEVENT:
17	Evie Poitevent.
18	MR. REINE:
19	And who do you represent?
20	MS. POITEVENT:
21	I'm from New Orleans and I'm of Greater
22	New Orleans, Inc. or Greater New Orleans
23	Development Foundation.
24	MR. REINE:
25	Okay. I just wanted to get it in the

1	minutes.
2	CHAIRMAN ROY:
3	And I think we probably have all of that
4	information, right, Ms. Simmons? The staff,
5	help me out or Counsel here?
6	MS. VILLA:
7	Yeah, I think this is what Evie is
8	requesting is whenever we do start investing
9	in the transactions, you want to know how many
LO	applicants to the fund was received by the
L1	fund, and you would like that broken out by,
L2	you know, I guess how many were received in
L3	and how many were eventually invested into; or
L4	are you looking at the ones that were just
L5	approved from the Board, which industries they
L6	plan on focusing on? And so
L7	MS. POITEVENT:
L8	Yeah. And maybe I misunderstood the
L9	request for the breakdown, the allocations by
20	region and industry, I thought that was
21	related to the groups that were just approved.
22	MS. VILLA:
23	The funds.
24	MS. POITEVENT:
25	The funds that were just approved.

1	MS. VILLA:
2	Yeah, we can do that. I was thinking
3	along the lines of once we do receive the
4	funding and the fundings start occurring
5	within these funds, the fund starts occurring
6	to individual transactions to the small
7	businesses, like which industry they are in,
8	how many applications those funds received.
9	And so we can put it better in context, is it
10	the demand for the fund and then how much was
11	eventually invested into?
12	MS. POITEVENT:
13	I think both.
14	MS. VILLA:
15	And that's why I just wanted to
16	understand what your request was because I was
17	thinking the next level.
18	MS. POITEVENT:
19	Yes. Yes.
20	MS. VILLA:
21	Okay. All right. We can do it for both.
22	MS. POITEVENT:
23	So at the fund level and then at the
24	investment level.
25	MR. REINE:

1	I would suggest that they would submit
2	their request in writing to the staff and they
3	can negotiate it and report it back to us at
4	the next meeting.
5	CHAIRMAN ROY:
6	If you can make it, I guess the
7	appropriate vehicle would be Counsel is not
8	here, but perhaps it's a public records
9	request, I guess; is that the fair to say.
10	MS. VILLA:
11	Yes.
12	MR. REINE:
13	What I understood is when we look at
14	this, we look at it based on those
15	demographics as well as the others is what you
16	were asking for?
17	MS. POITEVENT:
18	So I was my understanding was that
19	some sort of dashboard was going to be created
20	to illustrate this first traunch allocation
21	and, you know, the regions and the industries,
22	the industry focus.
23	SECRETARY PIERSON:
24	Yes, it will be on that dashboard and
25	you'll have access to it.

1	MS. POITEVENT:
2	Right.
3	SECRETARY PIERSON:
4	It will be a public record. And, today,
5	all we did was establish the initial class of
6	eligible recipients. No dollars were
7	disbursed today.
8	MS. POITEVENT:
9	Understood.
10	MR. REINE:
11	Okay. So whatever they're requesting,
12	let them ask that out and then we could look
13	at it and, you know, get it done.
14	CHAIRMAN ROY:
15	Thank you for your comment. Any other
16	comments from the public?
17	Hearing none, I'll entertain a motion to
18	adjourn.
19	MR. REINE:
20	So moved.
21	MR. SIMPSON:
22	Second.
23	CHAIRMAN ROY:
24	Motion and a second. All in favor, say
25	aye?

1	ALL MEMBERS:
2	Aye.
3	CHAIRMAN ROY:
4	All opposed, nay. Without objection.
5	Thank you for coming.
6	(WHEREUPON, THE MEETING ADJOURNED.)
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

## REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, KELLY S. PERRIN, a Certified Court
Reporter, Certificate #23035, in good standing with
the State of Louisiana, as the officer before whom
this meeting was taken, do hereby certify that the
foregoing 95 pages;

That this testimony was reported by me in stenographic machine shorthand by Computer-Aided Transcription, transcribed by me or under my personal direction and supervision, and is a true and correct transcript to the best of my ability and understanding;

That the transcript has been prepared in compliance with transcript format guidelines required by statute or by rules of the board, that I have acted in compliance with the prohibition on contractual relationships, as defined by Louisiana Code of Civil Procedure Article 1434 and in rules and advisory opinions of the board; that I am not of counsel nor related to any person participating in this cause and am in no way interested in the outcome of this event.

2.2

2.0

1	This certification is valid only for a
2	transcript accompanied by my handwritten or digital
3	signature and the image of my State-authorized seal
4	on this page.
5	Signed:
6	Kelly L. Pierri
7	KELLY S. PERRIN, CCR
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	